

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment cancels the original claims, without prejudice, in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/00696. The Preliminary Amendment also adds new claims 5-7. The new claims conform the claims to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules and do not add new matter to the application.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.125(b), the Substitute Specification (including the Abstract) contains no new matter. The amendments reflected in the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are to conform the Specification and Abstract to United States Patent and Trademark Office rules or to correct informalities. As required by 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.121(b)(3)(ii) and 1.125(c), a Marked-Up Version of the Substitute Specification comparing the Specification of record and the Substitute Specification also accompanies this Preliminary Amendment. Approval and entry of the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are respectfully requested.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/02309 includes an International Search Report, dated June 12, 2003, and an International Preliminary Examination Report dated December 13, 2004, copies of which are submitted herewith.

Applicant asserts that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Dated: 3/23/05

By: By: Richard L. Mayer (Reg. No. 22,490)
Richard L. Mayer
Richard L. Mayer
(Reg. No. 22,490)

One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200

SYSTEM FOR TRIGGERING RESTRAINT DEVICES

~~Background Information~~ Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to a system for triggering restraint devices ~~according to the definition of the species in the independent claim.~~

5 Summary of the ~~Of The Invention~~

The system according to the present invention for triggering restraint devices ~~having the features of the independent claim~~ has the advantage of achieving faster triggering times for the restraint devices, that is, both for belt tensioners as well as for airbag stages, due to the powerful role of the upfront sensor. Here it is possible in particular to trigger specifically an
10 airbag having two stages. This powerful role of the upfront sensor finds particular expression in the fact that, following the signals of the upfront sensor, time-dependent thresholds, which result in the triggering of the restraint devices, are modified. Here an upfront sensor is particularly of great advantage since in the event of a frontal crash it is very close to the event of the accident and thus provides better information than a sensor that is located merely in the
15 centrally situated control unit normally does.

~~The measures and further developments specified in the dependent claims allow for advantageous improvements of the system for triggering restraint devices indicated in the independent claim.~~

20 Particularly advantageous is the fact that here only velocity signals or filtered acceleration signals are used. The integration or filtering provides a smoothing which results in more meaningful signals.

25 In this instance, signals of low frequency are used in particular, i.e. a maximum limit frequency of 100 Hz is used for filtering. These signals are more reliable than signals of higher frequency, since signals of lower frequency can be more easily simulated, particularly by using a finite element method. The use of a finite element simulation can significantly save costs in the prototype phase of automobile development.

30 Brief Description of the ~~Drawing Of The Drawings~~

Exemplary embodiments of the present invention are depicted in the drawing and will be explained in greater detail in the following description.

The figures show:

5 Fig. 1 Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of the system according to the present invention.

Fig. 2 Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a functional sequence according to the present invention.

10 Fig. 3 Fig. 3 shows a velocity-time diagram showing an example of the functioning of the system according to the present invention.

Fig. 4 Fig. 4 shows a second example in a velocity-time diagram.

15 Fig. 5 Fig. 5 shows a further block diagram for illustrating the functional sequence according to the present invention.

Fig. 6 Fig. 6 shows another block diagram for illustrating the functional sequence according to the present invention, and.

20 Fig. 7 Fig. 7 shows another velocity-time diagram showing an example for the functional sequence that is executed in the system of the present invention.

Detailed Description

25 Restraint systems determine an activation or triggering of restraint devices using the acceleration that is measured in the passenger compartment on the tunnel and using peripheral sensors in the outer region, for example in the B-posts. This limits the use of finite element simulations for calculating the acceleration in the event of an accident, for such simulation methods have problems correctly simulating nonlinear processes in the event of a breakage of structural parts. For this reason, in the higher frequency signal range above
30 typically 100 Hz, calculated acceleration signals are not reliable enough for use in the system calibration of a crash detection algorithm.

According to the present invention, a system is described, which decides the triggering of airbags and belt tensioners on the basis of measured velocities or accelerations and which provides results comparable to conventional, acceleration-based algorithms. Particularly the

... ..
use of front sensors, that is, upfront sensors, which are built into the crash crumple zone of a vehicle, allows for such a use of a velocity-based algorithm.

Thus it is the essence of the present invention that the triggering of the restraint devices with the aid of a filtered acceleration, which is decided to a velocity-like signal or to the velocity itself. The velocity is measured in the passenger compartment on the tunnel and in the crash crumple zone of the vehicle with the aid of acceleration sensors. The advantage is that the algorithm calculates using low frequency signals, which in turn can be calculated quite reliable using finite element simulation. The present invention therefore permits the use of finite element simulation for the system calibration of airbags and belt tensioners. This makes it possible to save significant costs in the prototype phase of an automobile development.

Figure 1 shows that sensors 2 and 3 are used as upfront sensors in the crash crumple zone of a vehicle. Sensors 2 and 3 are connected to a control unit 4, which triggers restraint devices.

Control unit 4 is centrally located in the vehicle, preferably on the tunnel. Upfront sensors 2 and 3 are usually located on the cross support of the radiator and measure the acceleration in the event of an accident. From this acceleration, the velocity is established by integration for the respective sensor 2 and 3 or a velocity-like filtered acceleration is produced for example by low-pass filtering using a suitable low limit frequency of up to 100 Hz. These velocities and/or filtered accelerations are compared to thresholds. If the thresholds are exceeded, logical signals are generated by which other thresholds are changed. When specific thresholds are exceeded and/or when a logical signal is produced from the combination of the logical signals of the exceedance of specific thresholds, the associated restraint devices are triggered.

The transmission of the acceleration signals of upfront sensors 2 and 3 to control unit 4 usually occurs digitally, preferably via a unidirectional line, which is respectively provided for each sensor. Alternatively, a sensor bus may be used for this purpose. Control unit 4 itself performs the evaluation of the measured acceleration, that is, the integration or filtering and the threshold comparison. To this end, control unit 4 normally has a microprocessor or microcontroller with an appropriate storage device.

Figure 2 shows in a block diagram an example of a velocity-based algorithm. The acceleration a_T measured on the tunnel is generated in block 212. The magnitude of this acceleration a_T is compared in block 213 with an adjustable threshold $a_{T,N}$. If the magnitude

of a_T lies above that of $a_{T,N}$, then the velocity v_T is generated in block 214 by an integration of a_T . If the magnitude of measured acceleration a_T lies below threshold $a_{T,N}$, then velocity V_T is increased by an adjustable magnitude $a_{T,R}$ until velocity V_T is equal to zero. Acceleration V_T measured in the passenger compartment on the tunnel is compared with a time-dependent
5 threshold in blocks 210 or 211. In the most simple case, the restraint devices corresponding to this threshold are triggered when the threshold is undershot. In block 210, this is implemented by way of example for the first stage of an airbag. Here multiple adjustable thresholds are provided to trigger corresponding restraint devices. Hence there is a threshold $S_{T,SBT}$ in block 211 for triggering belt tensioners and a threshold $S_{T,AB1}$ in block 210 for triggering the first
10 stage of the two-stage airbag. Figure 3 shows velocity V_T , thresholds having triggering flags for the example of a 50 km/h head-on collision against a rigid barrier.

This threshold value comparison, however, is also determined by the accelerations of front sensors 2 and 3. In Figure 2, the accelerations are in each case measured in blocks 201 and
15 202 by the two upfront sensors 2 and 3 $a_{FS,L}$ and $a_{FS,R}$. These in turn are compared in blocks 203 and 204 to an adjustable threshold $a_{FS,N}$. If they lie above it, the velocity is determined by a suitable integration in blocks 205 and 206. If they lie below threshold $a_{FS,N}$, then velocity $V_{FS,L}$ is increased by an adjustable magnitude $a_{FS,Red}$ until velocity $V_{FS,L}$ is equal to zero. This applies to both sensor signals. A determination is then made in block 207 as to which of the
20 two velocities of the right and left upfront sensors is the maximum velocity. The maximum is then compared in blocks 208 and 209 to individually adjustable thresholds $S_{FS,SBT}$ for the belt tensioner and $S_{FS,AB}$ for the airbag. Additional thresholds may be provided here, according to the existing restraint devices. If the maximum velocity determined by the front sensors undershoots thresholds $S_{FS,SBT}$ and $S_{FS,AB}$, then the associated thresholds in blocks 210 and
25 211 $S_{T,SBT}$ and $S_{T,AB}$ are raised by specific adjustable magnitudes $dS_{T,SBT}$ and $dS_{T,AB}$. In this manner, the triggering of the associated restraint devices is facilitated. The probability of undershooting these thresholds is then higher. Figure 4 shows an example for velocities and thresholds, measured on the right and left radiator support, for a 50 km/h head-on collision against a rigid barrier. The changes of the triggering thresholds resulting from this are
30 represented in Figure 3.

The continuation of the algorithm for controlling the second stage of two-stage gas generators is shown in the block diagram in Figure 5. If a triggering of the first airbag stage was detected in block 501, then velocity V_T determined in the passenger compartment on the tunnel in turn is compared with time-dependent thresholds $S_{T,AB2,U}$, $S_{T,AB2,G}$ for passengers who are not

belted-in and passengers who are belted-in. If thresholds $S_{T,AB2,U}$ and $S_{T,AB2,G}$ have been exceeded within a specific maximum time delay $T_{AB2,max}$ following the triggering of the first stage, then a decision is made to trigger the second stage for passengers who are not belted-in or for passengers who are belted-in, and the second stage is triggered. Whether passengers are belted-in or not belted-in is detected in blocks 502 and 503. In the event that the passenger is not belted-in, the threshold value comparison and the maximum time delay are verified in block 504. In block 505, this is done for the case where the passenger is belted-in. If a trigger signal comes about in one of blocks 504 or 505, then the second stage is triggered in block 506. If this maximum delay time is exceeded, then the crash detection algorithm no longer triggers the second stage.

In another variant, represented schematically in Figure 6, there are two triggering thresholds $S_{T,AB2,U,VOLL}$ and $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ for the case of passengers who are not belted-in. If velocity V_T determined on the tunnel undershoots the threshold $S_{T,AB2,U,VOLL}$ up to a minimum delay time $T_{AB,VOLL}$, typically 5 msec following the decision to trigger the first stage, then a decision is made to trigger the second gas generator stage, namely, at the time of the undershooting. If velocity V_T determined on the tunnel falls below threshold $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ up to a maximum adjustable delay time T_{AB2} of typically 20 to 50 ms following the decision to trigger the first stage, then a decision is made to trigger the second stage at the time of the decision at the earliest following a second adjustable delay time following the triggering of the first stage $T_{AB2,min}$, typically 20 ms. If this maximum delay time is exceeded, then the crash detection algorithm no longer triggers the second stage. In the case of passengers who are belted-in, the method remains the same using appropriate thresholds.

Velocity $V_{FS,L}$ and $V_{FS,R}$ determined by the front sensors may in turn be used to improve the control of the second gas generator stage. The maximum $V_{FS,max}$ of the two velocities $V_{FS,L}$ and $V_{FS,R}$ is in turn compared to time-dependent adjustable thresholds $S_{FS,AB2,U}$, $S_{FS,AB2,G}$. If the maximum front sensor velocity $V_{FS,max}$ distinguishes the thresholds $S_{FS,AB2,U}$ and $S_{FS,AB2,G}$, then the associated thresholds $S_{T,AB2,U,VOLL}$, $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ or $S_{T,AB2,G,VOLL}$, $S_{T,AB2,G,MITT}$ are increased by specific adjustable magnitudes $dS_{FS,AB2,U,voll}$ and $dS_{FS,AB2,U,mitt}$ or $dS_{FS,AB2,G,voll}$ and $dS_{FS,AB2,G,mitt}$. In this manner, the triggering of the associated restraint devices is facilitated.

Figure 6 shows block 601, in which the triggering of the first stage was decided. Blocks 602 and 603 detect for the front sensors whether the threshold has been undershot in the case where the passenger is belted-in and in the case where the passenger is not belted-in. If the front sensor thresholds are undershot, then the threshold values are changed in blocks 604, 605, 606 and 607. In block 604, the threshold value comparison is performed for the case in which the passenger is not belted-in and the time condition for the early triggering of the second stage is verified. If the time condition was maintained and the threshold value undershot, then the system branches to block 610 to trigger the second stage. In block 605, the threshold comparison is performed and the time condition is verified for the case of delayed triggering. If the time condition was maintained and the threshold value was undershot, then the system branches to block 608 and a check is performed as to whether the minimum waiting time has elapsed and, if this still is not the case, the system waits until it has elapsed. Then the system branches to block 610 to trigger the second stage. In block 606, the threshold value comparison is performed for the case in which the passenger is belted-in and the time condition for the early triggering of the second stage is verified. If the time condition was maintained and the threshold value undershot, then the system branches to block 610 to trigger the second stage. In block 607, the threshold comparison is performed and the time condition is verified for the case of delayed triggering in the case in which the passenger is belted-in. If the time condition was maintained and the threshold value was undershot, then the system branches to block 609 and a check is performed as to whether the minimum waiting time has elapsed and, if this still is not the case, the system waits until it has elapsed. Then the system branches to block 610 to trigger the second stage.

An example for velocity and thresholds for the above-described second variant of the control of the second gas generator stage is shown in Figure 7 for a 64 km/h offset crash test against a deformable barrier for the case of a passenger who is not belted-in. The triggering time of the first generator stage in this example is approximately 18 ms following the beginning of the crash. The threshold $S_{T,AB2,U,VOLL}$ in this example is not changed by front sensors, but threshold $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ is changed by front sensors approximately 12 ms following the beginning of the crash and thus increases $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ plus $dS_{FS,AB2,U,mitt}$. Velocity V_T exceeds threshold $S_{T,AB2,U,VOLL}$, not, however, $S_{T,AB2,U,MITT}$ plus $dS_{FS,AB2,U,mitt}$ at approximately 18 ms. The decision for triggering the second stage is in this case made at 18 ms following the beginning of the crash. In this case, however, the second stage is triggered only after 20 ms

following the triggering of the first stage, that is, at 38 ms. This can be seen from the triggering flag Fire_AB2, which is set at 38 ms.

5 In another variant for controlling the second gas generator stage, instead of velocity V_T on the tunnel, the difference of the velocities $V_T - V_{FS,max}$ is calculated and compared with the thresholds as described above.

10 In yet another variant, instead of the velocity V_T on the tunnel, the acceleration filtered by a low-pass filter is calculated and compared with the thresholds as described above. The acceleration of the front sensors is likewise filtered by a low-pass filter and compared with the thresholds as described above. The filter frequency of the low-pass filter is adjustable depending on the type of installation and is chosen in such a way that a velocity-like signal is generated. A low filter frequency in the order of magnitude of up to 120 Hz or 100 Hz is chosen for this purpose.

15 In another variant for controlling the second gas generator stage, velocity V_T on the tunnel is compared to thresholds, as described above, in order to control the second gas generator stage. In parallel, on the tunnel the acceleration filtered by a low-pass filter is calculated and compared to time-dependent thresholds as described above. The decision of both branches is
20 joined by a logical OR and is used for controlling the second stage.

Abstract Of The Disclosure

A system for triggering restraint devices is proposed, which is distinguished by the fact that it combines signals from a central control unit and from upfront sensors with each other. The signals of the upfront sensor are used for adjusting time-dependent thresholds that are used to trigger the restraint devices. Usually, velocity or velocity-like signals are used in this context. Velocity-like signals are ascertained by filtering, a low frequency filtering being provided in this case.

(Figure 1)

Document comparison done by DeltaView on Wednesday, March 23, 2005 12:04:02 PM

Input:	
Document 1	interwovenSite://NYDMS01/NY01/967213/1
Document 2	interwovenSite://NYDMS01/NY01/973996/1
Rendering set	dottie

Legend:	
<i>Insertion</i>	
<i>Deletion</i>	
<i>Moved from</i>	
<i>Moved to</i>	
<i>Style change</i>	
<i>Format change</i>	
<i>Moved deletion</i>	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Redline Summary:		
No.	Change	Text
1-2	Change	"NY01 967213 v1" changed to "NY01 973996 v1"
3-4	Change	"NY01 967213 v1" changed to "NY01 973996 v1"
5	Insertion	v1 SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION
6-7	Change	"NY01 967213 v1" changed to "NY01 973996 v1"
8	Insertion	v12 2 SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION
9-10	Change	"NY01 967213 v1" changed to "NY01 973996 v1"
11-12	Change	"Background Information" changed to "Field Of The Invention"
13	Change	"restraint devices...the independent claim." changed to "restraint devices."
14-15	Change	"Summary of the Invention" changed to "Summary Of The Invention"
16	Change	"restraint devices having...claim has the advantage" changed to "restraint devices has the advantage"

17	Deletion	The measures and further...the independent claim.
18-19	Change	"Description of the Drawing" changed to "Description Of The Drawings"
20	Deletion	Exemplary embodiments of...following description.
21	Deletion	The figures show:
22	Deletion	Fig. 1
23	Change	"a block diagram" changed to "Fig. 1 shows a block diagram"
24-25	Change	"present invention," changed to "present invention."
26	Deletion	Fig. 2
27	Change	"a block diagram" changed to "Fig. 2 shows a block diagram"
28-29	Change	"present invention," changed to "present invention."
30	Deletion	Fig. 3
31	Change	"a velocity-time" changed to "Fig. 3 shows a velocity-time"
32-33	Change	"present invention," changed to "present invention."
34	Deletion	Fig. 4
35	Change	"a second example" changed to "Fig. 4 shows a second example"
36-37	Change	"velocity-time diagram," changed to "velocity-time diagram."
38	Deletion	Fig. 5
39	Change	"a further block" changed to "Fig. 5 shows a further block"
40	Deletion	present invention,
41	Deletion	Fig. 6
42	Change	"another block" changed to "Fig. 6 shows another block"
43-44	Change	"present invention, and" changed to "present invention."
45	Deletion	Fig. 7
46	Change	"another velocity-time" changed to "Fig. 7 shows another velocity-time"

10/528855

JCQ6 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 MAR 2005

47	Change	"Description" changed to "Detailed Description"
48-49	Change	"NY01 967213 v1" changed to "NY01 973996 v1"
50	Insertion	v1 88 SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION
51	Insertion	Abstract Of The Disclosure
52	Deletion	(Figure 1)

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	25
Deletions	27
Moved from	0
Moved to	0
Style change	0
Format changed	0
Total changes	52